



REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

Terms of Reference

APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE
SURVEY OF TREATED ALIEN VEGETATION SITES IN THE
TABLE MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK

31958/TMNP/2026-27

REQUEST FOR QUOTATION

You are hereby invited to submit price quotation for:
APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE SURVEY OF TREATED ALIEN
VEGETATION SITES IN THE TABLE MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK

RFQ NUMBER:	31958/TMNP/2026-27
ADVERTISEMENT DATE:	16 July 2026
CLOSING DATE:	31 July 2026
CLOSING TIME:	11.00 am
RFQ DOCUMENT DELIVERY ADDRESS:	tablemountain.scm@sanparks.org (Please note that any submissions made to any other email other than the designated email will not be accepted)
RFQ VALIDITY PERIOD:	90 days (commencing from the RFQ Closing Date)
TECHNICAL RELATED QUERIES	Karen Engelbrecht (karen.engelbrecht@sanparks.org) Chad Cheney (chad.cheney@sanparks.org)
SCM RELATED QUERIES	Zanele.Tamarana@sanparks.org

Bidders should ensure that bids are delivered timeously to the correct address. If the bid is late, it will not be accepted for consideration.

Bidders shall submit proposal responses in accordance with the prescribed manner of submissions as specified above. Bids received after the time stipulated shall not be considered.

Where applicable, the successful bidder will be required to fill in and sign a written Contract Form (SBD 7).

Bidders are not allowed to contact any other SANParks staff in the context of this RFQ other than the indicated officials under SBD 1 or as indicated above.

NB: No proposal shall be accepted by SANParks if submitted in any manner other than as prescribed above.

PART A INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (NAME OF DEPARTMENT/ PUBLIC ENTITY)					
BID NUMBER:	31958/TMNP/2026-27	CLOSING DATE:	31 July 2026	CLOSING TIME:	11:00
DESCRIPTION	APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE EFFECTIVENESS OF TREATED ALIEN VEGETATION SITES IN THE TABLE MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE DEPOSITED IN THE BID BOX SITUATED					
VIA EMAIL: tablemountain.scm@sanparks.org					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Zanele.Tamarana		CONTACT PERSON	Karen Engelbrecht	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	021 741 2569		TELEPHONE NUMBER	021 741 2332	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	Zanele.Tamarana@sanparks.org		E-MAIL ADDRESS	karen.engelbrecht@sanparks.org	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE SERVICES OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE SERVICES OFFERED?		☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS					
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?				☐ YES ☐ NO	

DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?	☐ YES ☐ NO
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?	☐ YES ☐ NO
IF THE ANSWER IS “NO” TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.	

PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:
1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION. 1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED (NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT. 1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT. 1.4. THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).
2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS
2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS. 2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER’S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS. 2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA. 2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID. 2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER. 2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED. 2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE.”

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this RFQ is to appoint a service provider to undertake field sampling of treated sites undertaken as part of the alien clearing programme in the Table Mountain National Park

2. BACKGROUND

South African National Parks (SANParks) is a public entity functioning under *National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act 57 of 2003 (Act 57 of 2003)*; with the mandate to conserve; protect; control; and manage national parks and other defined protected areas and their biological diversity (Biodiversity). As a public entity, SANParks is also governed by the *Public Finance Management Act, Act 1 of 1999 (as amended by Act 29 of 1999)*, and it is listed as *Schedule 3 Part A: 25 public entities*.

Table Mountain National Park is currently being funded by the Agence Française de Développement (AFD), the French Development Agency. The programme seeks to enable strategic learning exchange between the leaders of Table Mountain National Park and Reunion Island National Park. For this RFQ, the learning exchange is focused on how to build a positive relationship between a Protected Area Management Authority and its critical stakeholders.

This RFQ is approved by both SANParks and AFD in terms of the Procurement requirements of both institutions, and as such, this body of work is subject to meeting the Procurement requirements of both institutions.

Within the Table Mountain National Park, alien vegetation poses several management risks. As such the Park has in place a comprehensive alien vegetation management programme, implemented largely through the government funded Working for Water (WfW) programme.

Key to this programme is determining and monitoring the effectiveness of alien clearing treatments. This RFQ overall entail visiting pre-selected sites that have been worked and undertaking in-field inspections, recording and quantification of various alien vegetation treatments. The service provider should note that some of these sites are within mountainous and remote areas of the park.

As such for each site, the staff of the service provider will need to be independent in terms of transport, tools, equipment, water, food, etc. For each sample site, 2 field staff from the service provider for data collection and safety reasons will be required and need to be costed for.

3. SCOPE OF WORK

The project has one key activity i.e. Detailed work method effectiveness quantification.

For each sample site, 2 field staff for data collection and safety reasons will be required and need to be costed for.

1) Detailed work method effectiveness quantification:

Alien clearing teams use several different (but standard) methods for the clearing and treatment of alien vegetation. These methods include cutting, lopping, ring-barking, felling trees, application of herbicide, etc. This component of the project entails detailed recording of how effective each of these methods were.

The overall work procedure requires locating predetermined sites that were treated 6-12 months previously and recording the following metrics.

For each plant that did not die in the predetermined treatment plot:

- for each type of treatment (cut, ringbark, etc) by species, quantify (count) the number of remaining stems still alive.

- For the alive stems, what are the possible reason for the plant not dying. This would include direct measure such as, ring bark incomplete, no herbicide applied, as well as indirect measures such as stems that were missed and not treated.

A total of 50 plots are required that are approximately 1,500 m² that were medium to dense pre-treatment.

Minimum Data Requirements For: Detailed work method effectiveness quantification

General Site Information: Date, GPS Points, Observer Names, etc.

Detailed Method Information for each stem not dead: Species, method, stem size (diameter [height for ring-barking]), reason for stem not dying.

For each in-field day, 2 field staff for data collection and safety reasons will be required and need to be costed for.

- Data collected in field from the 50 plots needs to be collated, captured and checked in MS Excel (or similar) spreadsheet.

4. MANDATORY REQUIREMENT

The bidder who has not complied with mandatory requirement will be regarded as non-responsive/will be eliminated

Description	Comply	Non-Comply
Portfolio of evidence - Proven track record of working with large or complex dataset of more than 10,000 data items		

5. FUNCTIONALITY REQUIREMENTS

In this phase All service providers that met all the mandatory requirements in terms of mandatory evaluation process will be evaluated as per the below set of criteria:

NB: Qualification Threshold 75% – Bidders must submit all requested information under Technical Requirements for consideration to the next phase evaluation. Service Provider(s) who do not met the required minimum threshold of 75% on the below functionality requirements will be eliminated and will not proceed further for evaluation on price.

The service provider must furnish the following information as part of the BID response.

FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA			MAXIMUM TO BE AWARDED
FUNCTIONALITY	Weights	Points	Points
1. Reference letter /Purchase order/ appointment letter Proven track record of working on medium length (6 months +) environmental projects.	Weight: 40		6 months experience on environmental projects - 40 points Less than 6 months-0 points
Reference letter /Purchase order/ appointment letter 2. Proven track record of working on / with medium alien vegetation clearing projects with budgets greater than R200,000	40		working on / with medium alien vegetation clearing projects with value greater than R 200 000 – 40 points working on / with medium alien vegetation clearing projects with value less than R 200 000- 0 points
3. Suitable project team(s), supported by an abridged each personnel CV with relevant 6 months experience in the field of Note: One or more must have experience in following field • Environmental projects • medium alien vegetation clearing projects • data Capturing	20		Experience from one or more personnel each or one representing experience in environmental projects, vegetation clearing and data capturing for 6 months - 20 Experience from one or more personnel in each or one representing experience environmental projects, vegetation clearing and data capturing for less than 6 months and experience missing -0 experience with one or more missing

6. PRICING SCHEDULE (FEE SUMMARY)

APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER TO UNDERTAKE EFFECTIVENESS OF TREATED ALIEN VEGETATION SITES IN THE TABLE MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK		
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	PRICE
1	50 plots of detailed work method effectiveness quantification captured into MS Excel datasheets	R
SUB-TOTAL		R
VAT @ 15%		R
Total Incl. VAT		R

7. COMPLIANCE AND GOVERNANCE VERIFICATION DOCUMENTS (Standard Bidding Documents)

*In this phase all proposals received will be verified for compliance and completeness of the submitted proposal per the set of **minimum requirements as listed below**. Service Providers who comply with the listed requirements progresses to the next phase.*

The verification during this phase is to assess the bid responses for purposes of verifying compliance with RFQ requirements, whereby a bidder may be disqualified if they do not fully comply which requirements as stipulated below:

- Submission of fully completed SBD1 (Invitation to Bid),
- Submission of fully completed SBD 4 (Bidder's disclosure),
- Submission of fully completed SBD 6.1 (PPR 2022),
- TCS PIN

8. CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE INFORMATION

Bidders are required to be registered on the Central Supplier Database (CSD) of National Treasury. Failure to submit the requested information may lead to disqualification. (Please provide proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database).

9. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND WEIGHTING

The **RFQ** stipulated that the responses to be evaluated using the 80/20 preference points system in accordance with the PPPFA guidelines. Based on this system the points will be allocated as follows:

CRITERIA	POINTS
Price	80
Specific Goals/BEE	20
Total	100

10. EVALUATION FORMULA FOR PRICE

The following formula will be applied to calculate the scores:

Price Formula

The following PPPFA formula was used to evaluate the price proposals submitted by bidders, this formula was used because price was the only criterion that was scored i.e. the whole 80 points were allocated to price.

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{\min}}{P_{\min}} \right)$$

P_s = Points scored for price of the bid under consideration.

P_t = Rand value of bid under consideration.

$P_{\min}$ = Rand value of lowest acceptable bid

11. PROTECTION OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ACT, 4 OF 2013 (POPIA)

SANParks adheres to the Protection of Personal Information Act, 4 of 2013 (POPIA) requirements regarding personal information which came into effect 1 July 2021.

As SANParks, we are committed to protecting your privacy and ensuring that personal information collected is used properly, lawfully and transparently.

12. TIMELINES

The Successful supplier will be required to start delivery of the services immediately after appointment as per the terms of reference on the RFQ and complete the programme within the required time frame, failure to deliver SANParks reserve the right to cancel the Purchase Order.

13. FINANCIAL PAYMENT

Payment will be made in accordance to the PFMA (within 30 days of receipt of invoice) after delivery of service rendered or goods delivered.

14. FINAL AWARD

SANParks nominates the bidder with the highest combined score for the contract award subject to the bidder having supplied the relevant administrative documentation.

SANParks reserves the right not to award to the highest points scorer in accordance with S 2(1) (f) of the Act (PPPFA).

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. BIDDER'S DECLARATION

- 2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

- 2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

- 2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

- 2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....

- 2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

¹ The power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

3. DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name) in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect.

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.11 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.12 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.13 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.14 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.15 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.
I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6
OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE
SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and

1.2 To be completed by the organ of state

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.
- b) The **80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/ highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
 - (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or

- (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system, then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
1) Enterprises with B-BBEE Procurement Recognition		
Enterprises with B-BBEE Procurement Recognition Level 1 – 14 points	16	
Enterprises with B-BBEE Procurement Recognition Level 2- 12 points		
Enterprises with B-BBEE Procurement Recognition Level 3- 8 points		
Enterprises with B-BBEE Procurement Recognition Level 4 – 4 points		
2) Exempted Micro Enterprise/Qualifying Small Enterprises		
Exempted Micro Enterprise (annual turn-over below R10 million)/ Qualifying Small Enterprises (annual turn-over between R10 million & R50 million)	4	
	20	

NB: Bidders with B-BBEE Level 5-8 and who are not EMEs can still tender but will not claim points for specific goals

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number:

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety

- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the maker for criminal prosecution,

..... SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)	
SURNAME AND NAME:	
DATE:	
ADDRESS:	
	
	